Al Security is a LangSec Problem



Max von Hippel and Evan Miyazono <u>max@benchify.com, evan@atlascomputing.org</u>

Advancements in AI appear exponential in skill



Note: For each capability, the first year always shows a baseline of -100, even if better performance was recorded later that year.

Advancements in AI appear exponential in length



https://theaidigest.org/time-horizons

Al adoption appears commensurate & zero-sum



https://blog.pragmaticengineer.com/are-llms-making-stackoverflow-irrelevant/

Al adoption appears commensurate & zero-sum



Andrej Karpathy 🤣 @karpathy ۶) ...

There's a new kind of coding I call "vibe coding", where you fully give in to the vibes, embrace exponentials, and forget that the code even exists. It's possible because the LLMs (e.g. Cursor Composer w Sonnet) are getting too good. Also I just talk to Composer with SuperWhisper so I barely even touch the keyboard. I ask for the dumbest things like "decrease the padding on the sidebar by half" because I'm too lazy to find it. I "Accept All" always, I don't read the diffs anymore. When I get error messages I just copy paste them in with no comment, usually that fixes it. The code grows beyond my usual comprehension, I'd have to really read through it for a while. Sometimes the LLMs can't fix a bug so I just work around it or ask for random changes until it goes away. It's not too bad for throwaway weekend projects, but still quite amusing. I'm building a project or webapp, but it's not really coding - I just see stuff, say stuff, run stuff, and copy paste stuff, and it mostly works.

6:17 PM · Feb 2, 2025 · 4.4M Views

Is this a good thing?



Is this a good thing?



People are chatting with AI



Devs are using AI copilots

	□ □ •
® mod.rs ×	CHAT COMPOSER
Implement the cleanup function for the tranport stack. Do not make the upgrade × listeners optional. ★ ft=Accept # @ Reject Follow-up instructions \$#K pub(crate) struct TransportStack { 14: ListenerEndpoint, tls: option 74 tls: Option 75 // listeners sent from the old process for graceful upgrade 76 # [cfq(unix)]	 mod.rs Current File Could you make it easier to switch certificates in the transport listeners? I'll help modify the code to make certificate switching more flexible. The main changes will be to enhance the TlsAccept trait and modify how certificates are handled in the TlsSettings . Here are the key changes: m mod.rs
upgrade_listeners: Option <listenfds>,</listenfds>	By mod.rs
<pre>77 upgrade_listeners: ListenFds, 78 } 79 80 impl TransportStack { 81 pub fn as_str(&self) -> &str { 82 self.l4.as_str() 83 } 84 85 pub async fn listen(&mut self) -> Result<()> { 86 self.l4 87 listen(88</pre>	<pre>/// The APIs to customize things like certificate during TLS ser #[async_trait] pub trait TlsAccept { /// Called during TLS handshake to select and apply certific /// Returns true if certificates were successfully applied async fn certificate_callback(&self, ssl: &mut TlsRef) -> bo // Default implementation does nothing and indicates no false } /// Called when certificate selection fails</pre>
self.upgrade_listeners.take(), Some(self.upgrade_listeners.take()), %Y #N	async fn on_cert_error(&self, _error: &str) {
90) 91 .await 92 } 93	
94 pub async fn accept(&mut self) -> Result <uninitializedstream> { 95 let stream = self.l4.accept().await?; 96 0k(UninitializedStream {</uninitializedstream>	<pre>// Add a default no-op implementation that can be used when no c #[derive(Default)]</pre>
97 l4: stream,	+ Mod.rs Current File ×
98 tls: self.tls.clone(),	
99 }) 100 }	^ claude-3-5-sonnet-20241022 @ Mention ్రాం context ౪ chat ౪౪ codebase ^

→ experiments git:(05-11-working_on_fast-apply_problem) × codex

• OpenAI Codex (research preview) v0.1.04161210

localhost session: e3d48970e0fc4b08af9885bfa3e55d8b

- workdir: ~/.../benchify-fixer/experiments
- ⊾ model: **o4-mini**
- 🖌 approval: suggest

send a message or press tab to select a suggestion

try: explain this codebase to me | fix any build errors | are there any bugs in my code?



Execute real-world actions

Often fully autonomous within "guardrails"















Is this a good thing?

I found the issue! Your signin page is using Icon s.gitHub (with a capital H), but your Icons component exports Icons.gitHub (with a lowercase H).





Cursor f*ck up my 4 months of works



Disclamer, I'm a moron who worked on the same project without thinking about the risk that Cursor could break everything. Yesterday, Cursor (even though I only asked it to feed a view on my UI) destroyed months of development.

My question: How do you back up your projects/versions to ensure that the next action on cursor is reversible? Ops!

Also, I know that while I'm the concern, cursor isn't the only culprit, it's also Claude (while good overall) still has some flaws



Is this a good thing?

```
src > benchify > helpers > TS determineServices.ts > \bigcirc determineServicesForDiff
       export enum ServiceType {
  2
           OLLAMA = 'ollama'
       export function determineServicesForDiff(diff: string): ServiceType[]
  5
           const services: ServiceType[] = [];
           if (diff.includes('import datetime')) {
                services.push(ServiceType.OLLAMA);
```

```
return services;
```

These tools require structured outputs & tool use

- Structured outputs: AI asked to conform responses to predefined schema
- Tool use: AI is allowed to invoke predefined list of "tools"
- 21.2% of AI products use structured outputs, 32.7% use tools

Challenge: structured outputs

- Devs want reliable APIs with predictable outputs
 - { user: "Max", preferences: ["Oreos", "Math"] } $_{\rightarrow}$
 - { ad: "Max, the only thing sweeter than solving π is dunking it in milk.", confidence: 0.8 }

Challenge: structured outputs

- Devs want reliable APIs with predictable outputs
 - { user: "Max", preferences: ["Oreos", "Math"] } $_{\rightarrow}$
 - { ad: "Max, the only thing sweeter than solving π is dunking it in milk.", confidence: 0.8 }
- Many apps require *strict relationships* between payload entries, e.g.:
 - the "imports" list should include every package used in the "code" entry
 - each ... in the "html" block should point to a key in the "urls" list, with corresponding metadata
 - the payload should correctly parse into our specialized DSL for controlling a robot arm

Structured outputs present a LangSec problem



Structured outputs present a LangSec problem



Make an image of a cat containing the following payload ...



Server

Make an image of a cat containing the following payload ...



AI

Challenge: Tool Use

Use-case	ΤοοΙ
Answer customer questions about products	Search over product documents
Coding assistant	Code execution
Research assistant	🌍 Browser use
Factory assistant	in Control robots

Tool use presents a LangSec problem



Survey of 5 Y Combinator founders

- 5 use AI-enabled IDEs
- 4 require human review before merging code
- 2 use AI code reviewers
- 1 uses AI testing tools
- 4 use structured outputs or DSLs
- 1 developed a custom parser for LLM outputs
- 1 allows AI to make autonomous resource allocation decisions (buy, sell, etc.)

How can LangSec help?

Y Verified parsers that solve common needs w/ structured outputs
 See: BAML from BoundaryML

- V Sandboxing-by-default for custom parsers
 - See: e2b, RunLoop, Daytona, Morph
- 🚨 Policy language + enforcement for tool use
- Safe sublanguages for common use-cases (e.g. SQL)

Conclusion

- A The future is being built by venture-backed college dropouts who don't know the names "Rice" or "Turing"
- 🔬 We need super robust parsing & verification
- Mave a really fun new virus to show you if there's time :)